

COOPETITION IN SMALL, FAMILY-OWNED, RURAL NEW ZEALAND VINEYARDS AND THE IMPACT ON STAKEHOLDERS

Dave Crick, University of Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

James Crick, Loughborough University, United Kingdom

Keywords: Coopetition, New Zealand, Stakeholders, Wine

Introduction

Earlier research involving coopetition, namely, the interplay between competition and cooperation (Bengtsson and Kock, 2000) suggests sharing of resources and knowledge can assist firms' performance. This study draws on literature involving 'dark-side' practices (Abosag et al, 2016) and takes an adapted 'strategy as practice' approach (Whittington, 2006), to investigate whether differences exist between what owner-managers say and what happens at the cellar door in respect of coopetition practices.

Literature Review

Existing studies suggest that the New Zealand wine industry is both competitive and cooperative, with firms operating within various rural clusters (Dana et al, 2013; Crick, 2018a; Felzensztein et al, 2018). Similarities exist in wine clusters overseas (Felzensztein et al, 2014; Granata et al, 2018). New Zealand vineyards, like those from certain other 'new world' (as opposed to established and 'old world') producing countries, face problems on a competitive world stage where country of origin and brand effects are important (Bruwer and Beller, 2012; Voronov et al, 2013; Brodie and Benson-Rea, 2016). Knowledge sharing and joint promotions as examples of coopetition activities may be important for small vineyards (including family-owned) to enable them to compete internationally (Crick, 2018a; b). Furthermore, those vineyards with augmented portfolios such as cellar doors, restaurants, accommodation etc. may actively look to collaborate to bring tourism to their rural wine cluster such as via wine clubs and tours (Mitchell and Hall, 2001; Charters and Michaux, 2014). However, potential tensions in relationships need managing (Tidstrom, 2014). Moreover, Virtanen and Kock (2016) suggest that the product-markets firms operate in may affect relationships due to the nature of business models employed; for example, some firms are in closer competition than other organizations. Underpinning this study is via the theoretical lens of stakeholder theory (Freeman, 2017).

Research Focus

Firms are often both collaborative and competitive in the wine industry; however, this behavior may manifest itself differently among vineyards employing different business models. The study investigates whether differences exist in the coopetition behavior between vineyards that concentrate on domestic tourism in comparison to those actively internationalizing where domestic sales are less important.

Methodology

This study employs a mixed method approach, using manual coding, and primarily involving 40 interviews across 20 firms. The boundary of this study (Stake, 1995) refers to smaller-sized, family-owned vineyards within New Zealand's wine industry. Semi-structured interviews took place within 20 vineyards to understand owner-managers' and Cellar Door Managers' perspectives towards coopetition in their business models. Furthermore, observations took place at the cellar door of the same 20 vineyards and collection of secondary data sources where possible.

Findings

The study finds that owner-managers recognize the benefits of coopetition to various stakeholders such as their own business, rival vineyards, firms in the supply chain like bottling plants through to customers; also, stakeholders like shops, accommodation providers etc. that are impacted upon. Nevertheless, observational data at the cellar door suggests differences exist as a ‘strategy as practice’ between those vineyards engaged in domestic tourism and those internationally focused, where the latter are far less collaborative and opportunistic behavior is more prevalent.

Recommendations

Implementation of effective recruitment and training procedures is important, and owner-managers should consider offering cellar door employees appropriate incentives to facilitate collaborative behavior and minimize opportunism to benefit stakeholder groups.

Indicative Selected References

Abosag, I., Yen, D.A., and Barnes, B.R. (2016). What is “dark” about the dark-side of business relationships? *Industrial Marketing Management*, 55(1), 5-9.

Bengtsson, M., and Kock, S. (2000). “Coopetition” in business networks: To cooperate and compete simultaneously. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 29(5), 411-426.

Brodie, R.J. and Benson-Rea, M. (2016). Country of origin branding: an integrative perspective. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 25(4), 322-336.

Bruwer, J. and Beller, C. (2012). Country-of-origin (COO) brand preferences and associated knowledge levels of Japanese wine consumers. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 21(5), 307-316.

Charters, S. and Michaux, V. (2014). Strategies for wine territories and clusters: Why focus on territorial governance and branding? *Journal of Wine Research*, 25 (1), 1-4.

Crick, J.M. (2018a). The facets, antecedents and consequences of coopetition: An entrepreneurial marketing perspective. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, 21(2), 253-272.

Crick, J.M. (2018b). Studying coopetition in a wine industry context: Directions for future research. *International Journal of Wine Business Research* (Forthcoming).

Dana L-P., Granata, J., Lasch, F., and Carnaby, A. (2013). The evolution of co-opetition in the Waipara wine cluster of New Zealand. *Wine Economics and Policy*, 2(1), 42-49.

Felzensztein, C., Stringer, C., Benson-Rea, M., and Freeman, S. (2014). International marketing strategies in industrial clusters: insights from the Southern Hemisphere. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(5), 837-846.

Felzensztein, C., Deans, K.D. and Dana, L-P. (2018). Small firms in regional clusters: local networks and internationalization in the Southern Hemisphere. *Journal of Small Business Management* (Forthcoming).

Freeman, R.E. (2017), Five challenges to stakeholder theory: a report on research in progress. *Stakeholder Management*. Published online, 1-20.

- Granata, J., Lasch, F. Le Roy, F. and Dana, L-P. (2018). How do micro-firms manage coopetition? A study of the wine sector in France. *International Small Business Journal*, 36(3), 331-355.
- Mitchell, R. and Hall, C.M. (2001). Lifestyle behaviours of New Zealand winery visitors: Wine club activities, wine cellars and place of purchase. *International Journal of Wine Marketing*, 13(3), 82-93.
- Stake, R.E. (1995). *The Art of Case Study Research*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications, California.
- Tidstrom, A. (2014). Managing tensions in coopetition. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 43(2), 261-271.
- Virtanen, H. and Kock, S. (2016). Tension in coopetition between small and medium-sized firms. McGill International Entrepreneurship Conference, Vaasa, Finland.
- Voronov, M., De Clercq, D. and Hinings, C.R. (2013). Conformity and distinctiveness in a global institutional framework: the legitimation of Ontario fine wine. *Journal of Management Studies*, 50(4), 607-645.
- Whittington, R. (2006). Completing the practice turn in strategy research. *Organization Studies*, 27(5), 613-634.